

Comments on “Achievable Rates in Cognitive Radio Channels”

Mostafa Monemizadeh

Abstract

In a premier paper on the information-theoretic analysis of a two-user cognitive interference channel (CIC) [1], Devroye *et al.* presented an achievable rate region for the two-user discrete memoryless CIC. The coding scheme proposed by Devroye *et al.* is correct but unfortunately some rate-terms in the derived achievable rate region are incorrect (in fact incomplete) because of occurring some mistakes in decoding and analysis of error probability. We correct and complete the wrong rate-terms and thereby show that the corrected achievable rate region includes the rate region presented in [1].

Index Terms

Achievable rate region, cognitive interference channel, Gel’fand-Pinsker coding.

I. INTRODUCTION

A two-user genie-aided cognitive interference channel (CIC) is a two-user interference channel (IC) in which one of the transmitters (termed the secondary transmitter, here $TX2$) knows the other transmitter’s message (termed the primary transmitter, here $TX1$) noncausally (i.e., by a genie). Devroye, Mitran, and Tarokh (DMT) in their premier paper, titled “Achievable Rates in Cognitive Radio Channels,” derived an achievable rate region for the discrete memoryless CIC ([1, Th. 1]). We observe that the coding scheme proposed in [1] is correct but unfortunately the derived achievable rate region is incorrect because of occurring some mistakes in decoding and analysis of error probability.

Manuscript received September, 2015.

The author is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran (e-mail: mostafamonemizadeh@gmail.com)

We first intuitively show that some rate-terms in the DMT rate region seem to be incorrect (in fact, they are incomplete). Then, we correct the DMT achievable rate region and thereby show that the corrected achievable rate region includes the DMT rate region given in [1].

II. PRELIMINARIES

The two-user discrete memoryless CIC (DM-CIC), denoted by $\{\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2, p(y_1, y_2|x_1, x_2), \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2\}$, consists of four finite alphabets $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$, and a collection of conditional probability mass functions $p(y_1, y_2|x_1, x_2)$ on $\mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2$. The channel is memoryless in the sense that $p(y_1^n, y_2^n|x_1^n, x_2^n) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}|x_{1,t}, x_{2,t})$.

In this channel transmitter i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, wants to send a message M_i , uniformly distributed on $\mathcal{M}_i = \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_i}\}$, to its respective receiver. The primary transmitter $TX1$ generates the codeword x_1^n as $f_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n$, and the secondary transmitter $TX2$, being non-causally aware of the primary message, generates the codeword x_2^n as $f_2 : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n$. The decoding function $g_i(\cdot)$ is given by $g_i : \mathcal{Y}_i^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_i$.

A pair (R_1, R_2) of non-negative real values is called an achievable rate for the DM-CIC if for any given $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and for any sufficiently large n , there exists a sequence of encoding functions $f_1(\cdot), f_2(\cdot)$, and a sequence of decoding functions $g_1(\cdot), g_2(\cdot)$, such that

$$P_e^{(n)} = P_r \left\{ g_1(y_1^n) \neq m_1 \text{ or } g_2(y_2^n) \neq m_2 \mid (m_1, m_2) \text{ sent} \right\} \leq \epsilon$$

where $P_e^{(n)}$ is the average probability of error. The closure of the set of all achievable rate pairs (R_1, R_2) is called the capacity region.

In [1], Devroye *et al.*, by using rate splitting, divided each message M_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, into two independent sub-messages:

- (i) common sub-message M_{ic} at rate R_{ic} (to be sent from $TXi \rightarrow RX1, RX2$),
- (ii) private sub-message M_{ip} at rate R_{ip} (to be sent from $TXi \rightarrow RXi$),

such that $R_i = R_{ic} + R_{ip}$. In this paper, auxiliary random variables (RVs) U_{ic} and U_{ip} , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, represent the sub-messages M_{ic} and M_{ip} , respectively. Moreover, RV Q is time sharing RV which is independent of all other RVs.

We now present the DMT achievable rate region for the two-user genie-aided DM-CIC.

Theorem 1 [1, Th. 1]: Let $\mathcal{P}^{DMT}$ be the set of all joint distributions $p(\cdot)$ that factor as

$$p(q, u_{1c}, u_{1p}, u_{2c}, u_{2p}, x_1, x_2) = p(q)p(u_{1c}|q)p(u_{1p}|q)p(x_1|q, u_{1c}, u_{1p})p(u_{2c}|q, u_{1c}, u_{1p})p(u_{2p}|q, u_{1c}, u_{1p})p(x_2|q, u_{2c}, u_{2p}). \quad (1)$$

For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}$, let $\mathcal{R}^{DMT}(p)$ be the set of all quadruples $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p})$ of non-negative real numbers such that there exist non-negative real (R'_{2c}, R'_{2p}) satisfying

$$R'_{2c} \geq I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.1)$$

$$R'_{2p} \geq I(U_{2p}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.2)$$

$$R_{1p} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, Q) \quad (2.3)$$

$$R_{1c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, Q) \quad (2.4)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{2c}|U_{1p}, U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{1p}, U_{1c}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (2.5)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.6)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, Q) \quad (2.7)$$

$$R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1c}, U_{2c}|U_{1p}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, Q) \quad (2.8)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}|Q) \quad (2.9)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}|U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{1c}, U_{2c}; U_{2p}|Q) \quad (2.10)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2c}|U_{2p}, U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{1c}, U_{2p}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (2.11)$$

$$R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{1c}|U_{2p}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.12)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{1c}; U_{2p}, U_{2c}|Q) \quad (2.13)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.14)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|U_{2p}, Q) + I(U_{2p}; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.15)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (2.16)$$

then

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^{DMT} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}} \mathcal{R}^{DMT}(p)$ is an achievable rate region for the genie-aided DM-CIC in terms of $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p})$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}_{imp}^{DMT} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}} \mathcal{R}_{imp}^{DMT}(p)$ is the implicit description of the DMT achievable rate region where $\mathcal{R}_{imp}^{DMT}(p)$ is the set of all pairs (R_1, R_2) of non-negative real numbers such that $R_1 = R_{1p} + R_{1c}$ and $R_2 = R_{2p} + R_{2c}$ for some $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p}) \in \mathcal{R}^{DMT}(p)$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

We first saw that the rate-terms (2.7)–(2.9) and (2.13)–(2.16) of $\mathcal{R}^{DMT}(p)$ intuitively seem to be incomplete because of not utilizing some dependencies among RVs. For example, in (2.7) we have the main term $I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q)$. By considering the coding exploited in [1] and $\mathcal{P}^{DMT}$ and also since in the main term $I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q)$, RV U_{1c} is known (or given) and RVs U_{1p}, U_{2c} are unknown, we expect that the dependency between known RV U_{1c} and unknown RVs (U_{1p}, U_{2c}) as well as the dependency between unknown RVs U_{1p} and U_{2c} help communication and boost the rates. As we observe in (2.7), the term $I(U_{1p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) = I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, Q)$ is added to the main term but unfortunately, the term $I(U_{1p}; U_{2c}|Q)$ is not. Similarly, in (2.8) the dependency between U_{1c} and U_{2c} , in (2.9) the dependency between (U_{1p}, U_{1c}) and U_{2c} , in (2.13) the dependency between U_{2p} and U_{2c} , in (2.14) the dependency between U_{2p} and U_{1c} , in (2.15) the dependency between U_{1c} and U_{2c} , and in (2.16) the dependencies among U_{1c} , U_{2p} and U_{2c} can help communication and boost the rates, while they are overlooked in $\mathcal{R}^{DMT}(p)$. In this section, we present the corrected version of the DMT rate region that utilizes the aforementioned dependencies among auxiliary RVs in boosting the rates.

Theorem 2 [corrected Th. 1]: For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}$, let $\mathcal{R}^{Co-DMT}(p)$ be the set of all quadruples $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p})$ of non-negative real numbers such that there exist non-negative real (R'_{2c}, R'_{2p}) satisfying

$$R'_{2c} \geq I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.1)$$

$$R'_{2p} \geq I(U_{2p}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.2)$$

$$R_{1p} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, Q) \quad (3.3)$$

$$R_{1c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, Q) \quad (3.4)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{2c}|U_{1p}, U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{1p}, U_{1c}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (3.5)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.6)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|U_{1p}, Q) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{U}_{2c}; \mathbf{U}_{1p}|Q) \quad (3.7)$$

$$R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1c}, U_{2c}|U_{1p}, Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}|U_{1c}, Q) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{U}_{2c}; \mathbf{U}_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.8)$$

$$R_{1p} + R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{U}_{2c}; \mathbf{U}_{1p}, \mathbf{U}_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.9)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}|U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{2c}, U_{1c}; U_{2p}|Q) \quad (3.10)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2c}|U_{2p}, U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{2p}, U_{1c}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (3.11)$$

$$R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{1c}|U_{2p}, U_{2c}, Q) + I(U_{2p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.12)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c}|U_{1c}, Q) + I(U_{1c}; U_{2p}, U_{2c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(U_{2p}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (3.13)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|U_{2c}, Q) + (U_{2c}; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(U_{2p}; U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.14)$$

$$R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|U_{2p}, Q) + I(U_{2p}; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) \quad (3.15)$$

$$R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c} \leq I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(U_{2p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) + \mathbf{I}(U_{2p}; U_{2c}|Q) \quad (3.16)$$

then

- (i) $\mathcal{R}^{Co-DMT} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}} \mathcal{R}^{Co-DMT}(p)$ is an achievable rate region for the genie-aided DM-CIC in terms of $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p})$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}_{imp}^{Co-DMT} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}} \mathcal{R}_{imp}^{Co-DMT}(p)$ is the implicit description of the corrected DMT achievable rate region where $\mathcal{R}_{imp}^{Co-DMT}(p)$ is the set of all pairs (R_1, R_2) of non-negative real numbers such that $R_1 = R_{1p} + R_{1c}$ and $R_2 = R_{2p} + R_{2c}$ for some $(R_{1p}, R_{1c}, R_{2c}, R_{2p}) \in \mathcal{R}^{Co-DMT}(p)$.

Remark 1: Because of some added mutual information terms (boldface terms in Theorem 2), the corrected DMT rate region includes the previous incomplete one.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Codebook generation: Fix a joint distribution $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{DMT}$ factored as (1). Generate a sequence $q^n \sim \prod_{t=1}^n p(q_t)$. Note that by considering

$$p(u_{2c}|q) = \sum_{u_{1c}, u_{1p} \in \mathcal{U}_{1c}, \mathcal{U}_{1p}} p(u_{2c}|u_{1c}, u_{1p}, q) p(u_{1c}|q) p(u_{1p}|q) \quad (4)$$

$$p(u_{2p}|q) = \sum_{u_{1c}, u_{1p} \in \mathcal{U}_{1c}, \mathcal{U}_{1p}} p(u_{2p}|u_{1c}, u_{1p}, q) p(u_{1c}|q) p(u_{1p}|q) \quad (5)$$

the generation of the codewords u_{2c}^n and u_{2p}^n can be performed independently of u_{1c}^n and u_{1p}^n by using binning scheme. In other words, the codebook is generated according to the distribution

$$p(q)p(u_{1c}|q)p(u_{1p}|q)p(x_1|q, u_{1c}, u_{1p})p(u_{2c}|q)p(u_{2p}|q)p(x_2|q, u_{2c}, u_{2p}). \quad (6)$$

To do so,

- (1) generate $2^{nR_{1c}}$ independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) n -sequences $u_{1c}^n(m_{1c})$, $m_{1c} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_{1c}}\}$, each according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(u_{1c,t}|q_t)$;
- (2) generate $2^{nR_{1p}}$ i.i.d. n -sequences $u_{1p}^n(m_{1p})$, $m_{1p} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_{1p}}\}$, each according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(u_{1p,t}|q_t)$;

- (3) generate $2^{n(R_{2c}+R'_{2c})}$ i.i.d. n -sequences $u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c})$, $m_{2c} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_{2c}}\}$ and $l_{2c} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR'_{2c}}\}$, each according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(u_{2c,t}|q_t)$; (i.e., $2^{nR_{2c}}$ bins and $2^{nR'_{2c}}$ sequences in each bin)
- (4) generate $2^{n(R_{2p}+R'_{2p})}$ i.i.d. n -sequences $u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p})$, $m_{2p} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_{2p}}\}$ and $l_{2p} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR'_{2p}}\}$, each according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(u_{2p,t}|q_t)$; (i.e., $2^{nR_{2p}}$ bins and $2^{nR'_{2p}}$ sequences in each bin)

The aim is to send a four dimensional message consisting of four sub-messages as

$$(m_{1p}, m_{1c}, m_{2c}, m_{2p}) \in \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_{1p}}\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_{1c}}\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_{2c}}\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_{2p}}\}$$

Note that m_{1p} and m_{1c} are message indices and m_{2p} and m_{2c} are bin indices.

Encoding: The primary transmitter $TX1$ to send (m_{1p}, m_{1c}) , first looks up the sequences $u_{1p}^n(m_{1p})$ and $u_{1c}^n(m_{1c})$, then generates x_1^n i.i.d. according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(x_{1,t}|u_{1p,t}(m_{1p}), u_{1c,t}(m_{1c}), q_t)$ and sends it.

The cognitive transmitter $TX2$, being non-causally aware of $u_{1p}^n(m_{1p})$ and $u_{1c}^n(m_{1c})$, to send m_{2p} and m_{2c} , first looks for indices l_{2p} and l_{2c} in bins m_{2p} and m_{2c} , respectively, such that

$$(q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p})) \in A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2p})$$

$$(q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c})) \in A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c})$$

then generates x_2^n i.i.d. according to $\prod_{t=1}^n p(x_{2,t}|u_{2p,t}(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c,t}(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), q_t)$ and sends it.

Decoding: Upon receiving y_1^n , receiver $RX1$ looks for a unique triplet (m_{1p}, m_{1c}, m_{2c}) and some l_{2c} such that

$$(q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Y_1)$$

Upon receiving y_2^n , receiver $RX2$ looks for a unique triplet (m_{2p}, m_{2c}, m_{1c}) and some (l_{2p}, l_{2c}) such that

$$(q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)$$

Error analysis: Assume without loss of generality that the message $(m_{1p}, m_{1c}, m_{2c}, m_{2p}) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ is sent. We first do the encoding error analysis. The encoding error events at encoder 2 are

$$E_1^{enc2} = \left\{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(1, l_{2c})) \notin A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}) \text{ for all } l_{2c} \in \{1, \dots, 2^{nR'_{2c}}\} \right\}$$

$$E_2^{enc2} = \left\{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2p}^n(1, l_{2p})) \notin A_\varepsilon^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2p}) \text{ for all } l_{2p} \in \{1, \dots, 2^{nR'_{2p}}\} \right\}$$

As shown in [1], the probabilities of encoding error events E_1^{enc2} and E_2^{enc2} go to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if the following binning conditions hold:

$$R'_{2c} \geq I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (7)$$

$$R'_{2p} \geq I(U_{2p}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) \quad (8)$$

Let L_{2c}^* and L_{2p}^* denote the right Gelfand-Pinsker coding indices ([2]) chosen by encoder 2, i.e.,

$$(q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*)) \in A_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}) \quad (9)$$

$$(q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2p}^n(1, L_{2p}^*)) \in A_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2p}) \quad (10)$$

By assuming successful encoding with no errors, we now do the decoding error analysis at decoders. The determining error events at decoder 1 are

$$E_1^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1p} \neq 1 \}$$

$$E_2^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1c} \neq 1 \}$$

$$E_3^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2c} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \}$$

$$E_4^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1p} \neq 1 \text{ and } m_{1c} \neq 1 \}$$

$$E_5^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1p} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \}$$

$$E_6^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1c} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \}$$

$$E_7^{dec1} = \{ (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1p} \neq 1, m_{1c} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \}$$

where, for simplicity, $A_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Y_1)$ is denoted by $A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)}$. Note that the probability of decoding error events will be evaluated by considering: (i) the encoding distribution (1) (i.e., $\mathcal{P}^{DMT}$) and the actual transmitted sequences, and (ii) the codebook generation distribution (6), the correctly decoded sequences and how to generate the sequences. As we mentioned earlier, for decoder 1 only the rate-terms (2.7)–(2.9) are wrong, therefore we only evaluate the probabilities

of E_5^{dec1} , E_6^{dec1} and E_7^{dec1} . The probability of the event E_5^{dec1} can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_5^{dec1}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{1p} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*} (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(1), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{1p}^n, u_{1c}^n, u_{2c}^n, y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{1p}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(y_1^n | q^n, u_{1c}^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Y_1)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_1|Q, U_{1c})} \\
&= 2^{n(R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} 2^{nH(Q)} 2^{nH(U_{1p}|Q)} 2^{nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{nH(U_{2c}|Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c})} 2^{nH(Y_1|Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c})} \\
&\quad \times 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_1|Q, U_{1c})} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{2c}|Q, U_{1c}) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{1p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c}))} \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P_r(E_5^{dec1})$ goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if (3.7) is satisfied. Similarly, $P_r(E_6^{dec1})$ and $P_r(E_7^{dec1})$ can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_6^{dec1}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{1c} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*} (q^n, u_{1p}^n(1), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{1p}^n, u_{1c}^n, u_{2c}^n, y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{1p}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(y_1^n | q^n, u_{1p}^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Y_1)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_1|Q, U_{1p})} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_1; U_{1c}, U_{2c}|Q, U_{1p}) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c}))} \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_7^{dec1}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{1p} \neq 1, m_{1c} \neq 1, m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*} (q^n, u_{1p}^n(m_{1p}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1p} + R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{1p}^n, u_{1c}^n, u_{2c}^n, y_1^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 1}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{1p}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(y_1^n | q^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{1p} + R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}, Y_1)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_1|Q)} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_1; U_{1p}, U_{1c}, U_{2c}|Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1p}, U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{1p} + R_{1c} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c}))} \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P_r(E_6^{dec1}) \rightarrow 0$ and $P_r(E_7^{dec1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if (3.8) and (3.9) are satisfied, respectively.

The determining error events at decoder 2 are

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), u_{1c}^n(1), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2p} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^* \} \\
E_2^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(1, L_{2p}^*), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(1), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2c} \neq 1 \text{ and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \} \\
E_3^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(1, L_{2p}^*), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{1c} \neq 1 \} \\
E_4^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(1), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{2c} \neq 1 \\
&\quad \text{and } l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \} \\
E_5^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{1c} \neq 1 \} \\
E_6^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(1, L_{2p}^*), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*, m_{1c} \neq 1 \} \\
E_7^{dec2} &= \{ (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \text{ for } m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{2c} \neq 1, \\
&\quad l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^* \text{ and } m_{1c} \neq 1 \}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for simplicity, $A_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)$ is denoted by $A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)}$. As we mentioned earlier, for decoder 2 only the rate-terms (2.13)–(2.16) are wrong, therefore we only evaluate the probabilities of E_4^{dec2} , E_5^{dec2} , E_6^{dec2} and E_7^{dec2} . The probability of the event E_4^{dec2} can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_4^{dec2}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*} (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(1), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{2p}^n, u_{2c}^n, u_{1c}^n, y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)}} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{2p}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(y_2^n | q^n, u_{1c}^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_2|Q, U_{1c})} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c} | Q, U_{1c}) + I(U_{2p}; U_{2c} | Q) + I(U_{2p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c} | Q) - (R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c}))} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where, (14) is obtained by considering this fact U_{2p} and U_{2c} are dependent in general and the encoding distribution (1) says that they are independent only when (U_{1p}, U_{1c}, Q) are given, i.e., $U_{2p} \rightarrow (U_{1p}, U_{1c}, Q) \rightarrow U_{2c}$ form a Markov chain. Hence, $P_r(E_4^{dec2})$ goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if (3.13) is satisfied. Similarly, $P_r(E_5^{dec2})$, $P_r(E_6^{dec2})$ and $P_r(E_7^{dec1})$ can be bounded as

$$P_r(E_5^{dec2}) = P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{1c} \neq 1} (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(1, L_{2c}^*), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p}+R'_{2p}+R_{1c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{2p}^n, u_{2c}^n, u_{1c}^n, y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{2p}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(y_2^n | q^n, u_{2c}^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p}+R'_{2p}+R_{1c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_2|Q, U_{2c})} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|Q, U_{2c}) + I(U_{2p}; U_{1c}|Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{2p}, U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{1c}))} \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_6^{dec2}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*, m_{1c} \neq 1} (q^n, u_{2p}^n(1, L_{2p}^*), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2c}+R'_{2c}+R_{1c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{2p}^n, u_{2c}^n, u_{1c}^n, y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{2p}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(y_2^n | q^n, u_{2p}^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2c}+R'_{2c}+R_{1c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_2|Q, U_{2p})} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_2; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q, U_{2p}) + I(U_{2p}; U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) + I(U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c}))} \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(E_7^{dec2}) &= P \left\{ \bigcup_{m_{2p} \neq 1, l_{2p} \neq L_{2p}^*, m_{2c} \neq 1, l_{2c} \neq L_{2c}^*, m_{1c} \neq 1} (q^n, u_{2p}^n(m_{2p}, l_{2p}), u_{2c}^n(m_{2c}, l_{2c}), u_{1c}^n(m_{1c}), y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^{(n)} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p}+R'_{2p}+R_{2c}+R'_{2c}+R_{1c})} \sum_{(q^n, u_{2p}^n, u_{2c}^n, u_{1c}^n, y_2^n) \in A_{\varepsilon 2}^n} \left\{ p(q^n) p(u_{2p}^n | q^n) p(u_{2c}^n | q^n) p(u_{1c}^n | q^n) p(y_2^n | q^n) \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{n(R_{2p}+R'_{2p}+R_{2c}+R'_{2c}+R_{1c})} 2^{nH(Q, U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}, Y_2)} 2^{-nH(Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2p}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{2c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(U_{1c}|Q)} 2^{-nH(Y_2|Q)} \\
&= 2^{-n(I(Y_2; U_{2p}, U_{2c}, U_{1c}|Q) + I(U_{2p}; U_{2c}|Q) + I(U_{2p}, U_{2c}; U_{1c}|Q) - (R_{2p} + R'_{2p} + R_{2c} + R'_{2c} + R_{1c}))} \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P_r(E_5^{dec2}) \rightarrow 0$, $P_r(E_6^{dec2}) \rightarrow 0$ and $P_r(E_7^{dec2}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if (3.14), (3.15) and (3.9) are satisfied, respectively. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Devroye, P. Mitran, V. Tarokh, "Achievable rates in cognitive radio channels," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 1813–1827, May 2006.
- [2] S. Gel'fand and M. Pinsker, "Coding for channels with random parameters," *Probl. Contr. and Inform. Theory*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 19–31, 1980.